



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/391,294	09/07/99	WILSON		R	CBC-122-C
Γ		PM82/0102	\neg		EXAMINER
ANDREW R BASILE YOUNG &BASILE PC 3001 WEST BIG BEAVER ROAD SUITE 624				CANFIELD,R	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	4-3107	OND 3011E 824		3635	//
				DATE MAILED:	01/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/391,294

Applicant(s)

Wilson et al.

Examiner

Robert Canfield

Group Art Unit 3635



	a) 🗀	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
· t	. 1 (57)		•
	p) 🔀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this A is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months for rejection.	dvisory Action, whichever rom the date of the final
c	late on letermi	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the d ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 3 ad from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above	ate for the purposes of
		ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.1	
App but	licant is NO	s response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Dec 20, 2000</u> has been considered with t I deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	he following effect,
X 1	he pr	oposed amendment(s):	
	X wi	l be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
] wi	not be entered because:	
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note	below).
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reduissues for appeal.	cing or simplifying the
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejec	ted claims.
	NO.		
] Ар	plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	_		
	Newly separa	proposed or amended claims would be allowed te, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	able if submitted in a
☐ ·	The at	fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the owance because:	e application in condition
	The at	fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues whi aminer in the final rejection.	ch were newly raised by
X	or pu	rposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation	if any):
			.,
(Claims	allowed:	
(Claims	rejected: 1-5	
		oposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been appro	oved by the Examiner.
	Note t	ne attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
		Claims 1-5 will remain rejected under 35 USC 251 for a defective declaration and for	
		improper recapture. The double patenting rejection remains. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5	
		remain rejected under 35 USC 102. Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive	ROBERT CANFIELD PRIMARY EXAMMER